COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0132-05

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS #2 for SS for HCS for HJR Nos. 11 & 7

Subject: Constitutional Amendments; Agriculture and Animals

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: May 29, 2013

Bill Summary: Proposes a constitutional amendment affirming the right of farmers and

ranchers to engage in modern farming and ranching practices.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
General Revenue	\$0 or (More than \$7,100,000)	\$0	\$0	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0 or (More than \$7,100,000)	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS #2 for SS for HCS for HJR Nos. 11 & 7

Page 2 of 6 May 29, 2013

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS #2 for SS for HCS for HJR Nos. 11 & 7

Page 3 of 6 May 29, 2013

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

In response to the previous version of this proposal, officials at the **Office of Secretary of State** assumed each year, a number of joint resolutions that would refer to a vote of the people a constitutional amendment and bills that would refer to a vote of the people the statutory issue in the legislation may be considered by the General Assembly.

Unless a special election is called for the purpose, Joint Resolutions proposing a constitutional amendment are submitted to a vote of the people at the next general election. Article XII section 2(b) of the Missouri Constitution authorizes the governor to order a special election for constitutional amendments referred to the people. If a special election is called to submit a Joint Resolution to a vote of the people, section 115.063.2, RSMo, requires the state to pay the costs. The cost of the special election has been estimated to be \$7.1 million based on the cost of the 2012 Presidential Preference Primary. This figure was determined through analyzing and totaling expense reports from the 2012 Presidential Preference Primary received from local election authorities.

The Secretary of State's Office is required to pay for publishing in local newspapers the full text of each statewide ballot measure as directed by Article XII, Section 2(b) of the Missouri Constitution and Sections 116.230-116.290, RSMo. The Secretary of State's Office is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. Funding for this item is adjusted each year depending upon the election cycle with \$1.3 million historically appropriated in odd numbered fiscal years and \$100,000 appropriated in even numbered fiscal years to meet these requirements. The appropriation has historically been an estimated appropriation because the final cost is dependent upon the number of ballot measures approved by the General Assembly and the initiative petitions certified for the ballot. In FY 2013, at the August and November elections, there were 5 statewide Constitutional Amendments or ballot propositions that cost \$2.17 million to publish (an average of \$434,000 per issue). Therefore, the Secretary of State's Office assumes, for the purposes of this fiscal note, that it should have the full appropriation authority it needs to meet the publishing requirements. However, because these requirements are mandatory, we reserve the right to request funding to meet the cost of our publishing requirements if the Governor and the General Assembly change the amount or eliminate the estimated nature of our appropriation.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS #2 for SS for HCS for HJR Nos. 11 & 7

Page 4 of 6 May 29, 2013

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Oversight has reflected in this fiscal note, the state potentially reimbursing local political subdivisions the cost of having this joint resolution voted on during a special election in fiscal year 2014. This reflects the decision made by the Joint Committee on Legislative Research, that the potential cost of elections should be reflected in the fiscal note. The next scheduled general election is in November 2014 (FY 2015). It is assumed the subject within this proposal could be on that ballot; however, it could also be on a special election called for by the Governor. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a potential election cost reimbursement to local political subdivisions in FY 2014.

Officials from the **Department of Conservation (MDC)** assume an unknown fiscal impact likely less than \$100,000 on MDC.

Oversight assumes that the Department of Conservation could absorb any costs arising from the proposal with existing resources.

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office (AGO)** assume that any potential cost relating to implementation of the proposal could be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of Governor**, **Department of Agriculture**, and **Department of Natural Resources** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>\$0 or (More</u> <u>than</u> <u>\$7,100,000)</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Expense - reimbursement of local political subdivisions if a special election is called	\$0 or (More than \$7,100,000)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government GENERAL REVENUE	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS #2 for SS for HCS for HJR Nos. 11 & 7

Page 5 of 6 May 29, 2013

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO LOCAL ELECTION AUTHORITIES	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Expense - Cost for special election	\$0 or (More than <u>\$7,100,000)</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>Transfer In</u> - Cost reimbursement from the State for special election	\$0 or More than \$7,100,000	\$0	\$0
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government LOCAL ELECTION AUTHORITIES	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

Upon voter approval, this proposed constitutional amendment affirms the right of farmers and ranchers to engage in farming and ranching practices in this state, subject to the duly authorized powers conferred by Article VI of the Missouri Constitution.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed CCS #2 for SS for HCS for HJR Nos. 11 & 7

Page 6 of 6 May 29, 2013

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Secretary of State
Department of Agriculture
Department of Conservation
Department of Natural Resources
Office of the Attorney General
Office of Governor

Ross Strope Acting Director May 29, 2013

Con Ada